

## University of Groningen

### Valuing variability

Lesonen, Sirkku

DOI:  
[10.33612/diss.124923644](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.124923644)

**IMPORTANT NOTE:** You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Lesonen, S. (2020). *Valuing variability: Dynamic usage-based principles in the L2 development of four Finnish language learners*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.124923644>

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

#### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

## REFERENCES

- Aalto, E. 1997. *Mielipiteen vuorovaikutuksellinen rakentaminen syntyperäisen ja ei-syntyperäisen suomenpuhujan keskustelussa*. Licentiate thesis, University of Jyväskylä.
- Aalto, E., Mustonen, S. & Tukia, K. 2009. Funktionaalisuus toisen kielen oppimisen lähtökohtana. *Virittäjä* 3, 402–423.
- Abbot-Smith, K. & Behrens, H. 2006. How known constructions influence the acquisition of other constructions: The German passive and future constructions. *Cognitive Science* 30(6), 995–1026.
- Alba-Juez, L. & Thompson, G. 2014. The many faces and phases of evaluation. In G. Thompson & L. Alba-Juez (ed.) *Evaluation in Context*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 3–23.
- Alhoniemi, A., Ikola, O. & Rintala, P. 1992. *Nyky-suomen käsikirja*. O. Ikola (ed.) Jyväskylä: Weilin + Göös.
- Arndt-Lappe, S. & Baldus, L. 2018. How do frequency, granularity, and proficiency interact in the L2 acquisition of alternations? Evidence from German-English syntax and morphophonology. Paper presented at the 28<sup>TH</sup> Conference of the European Second Language Association, Münster, Germany, 5–8 September.
- Bardovi-Harlig, K. 2000. Chapter One: The study of time talk in second language acquisition. *Language Learning* 50 (s1), 1–20.
- Barlow, M. & Kemmer, S. 2000. Introduction: A usage-based conception of language. In M. Barlow & S. Kemmer (eds.) *Usage-Based Models of Language*. Stanford: CSLI, vii–xxviii.
- Barlow, M. 2018. Entrenchment in production and comprehension systems. Paper presented at the 8th International Conference of the German Cognitive Linguistics Association, Koblenz, Germany, 26–28 September.
- Belligh, T. 2019. Epistemological challenges in corpus analyses of alternating constructions. Paper presented at the Societas Linguistica Europaea - 52<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting, Leipzig, Germany, 21–24 August.
- Bulté, B. 2013. *The development of complexity in second language acquisition. A dynamic systems approach*. PhD dissertation, University of Brussels.
- Bybee, J. 1995. Regular morphology and the lexicon. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 10(5), 425–455.
- Bybee, J. & Slobin, D. 1982. Rules and schemas in the development and use of the English present tense. *Language* 58, 265–289.
- Cadierno, T. 2004. Expressing motion events in a second language: A cognitive typological perspective. In M. Achard & S. Niemeier (eds.) *Cognitive linguistics, second language acquisition, and foreign language teaching*. Berlin: De Gruyter, 13–49.
- Cadierno, T. 2012. Thinking for speaking in second language acquisition. In C. Chapelle (ed.) *The Encyclopedia of Applied Linguistics*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Cadierno, T. & Ruiz, L. 2006. Motion events in Spanish L2 acquisition. *Annual Review of Cognitive Linguistics* 4, 183–216.

- Cancino, H., Rosansky, E. & Schumann, J. 1978. The acquisition of English negatives and interrogatives by native Spanish speakers. In E. M. Hatch (ed.) *Second language acquisition: A book of readings*. Rowley, MA: Newbury House, 207–230.
- Caspi, T. 2010. *A dynamic perspective on second language development*. PhD dissertation, University of Groningen.
- CEFR - Common European Framework for Languages: Learning, teaching, assessment. (2006). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cenoz, J., Hufeisen, B. & Jessner, U. (eds.) 2003. *The multilingual lexicon*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Chan, H., Verspoor, M. & Vahtrick, L. 2015. Dynamic development in speaking versus writing in identical twins. *Language Learning* 65(2), 298–325.
- Chomsky, N. 1971. *Problems of knowledge and freedom: The Russel lectures*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Chomsky, N. 1979. *On language: Language and responsibility*. New York: The New Press.
- Chomsky, N. 1981. *Lectures on government and binding*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Chomsky, N. 1986. *Knowledge of language*. New York: Praeger.
- Cook, V. (ed.) 2003. *Effects of the second language on the first*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Croft, W. 1991. *Syntactic categories and grammatical relations. The cognitive organization of information*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Dabrowska, E. 2001. From formula to schema: The acquisition of English questions. *Cognitive Linguistics* 11(1–2), 83–102.
- Dąbrowska, E. & Lieven, E. 2005. Towards a lexically specific grammar of children's question constructions. *Cognitive Linguistics* 16(3), 437–474.
- de Bot, K., Lowie, W. & Verspoor, M. 2007. A dynamic systems theory approach to second language acquisition. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition* 10(1), 7–21.
- de Bot, K. & Larsen-Freeman, D. 2011. Researching second language development from a dynamic systems theory perspective. In M. Verspoor, K. de Bot, & W. Lowie (eds.) *A dynamic approach to second language development. Methods and techniques*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 5–23.
- de Bot, K., Verspoor, M. & Lowie, W. 2005. Dynamic systems theory and applied linguistics: The ultimate “so what”? *International Journal of Applied Linguistics* 15(1), 116–118.
- Dittmar, N. 1984. Semantic features of pidginised learners of German. In R. Andersen (ed.) *Second languages; a cross-linguistic perspective*. Rowley: Newbury House, 243–270.
- Ellis, N. 2003. Constructions, chunking and connectionism: The emergence of second language structure. In C. J. Doughty & M. H. Long (eds.) *The Handbook of Second Language Acquisition*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, 63–103.
- Ellis, N. 2002. Frequency effects in language processing. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition* 24(02), 143–188.
- Ellis, N. 2005. At the interface: Dynamic interactions of explicit and implicit knowledge. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition* 27(2), 305–352.
- Ellis, N. 2007. Dynamic systems and SLA: The wood and the trees. *Bilingualism:*

- Language and Cognition* 10(1), 23–25.
- Ellis, R. 1985. *Understanding second language acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. 1994. *The study of second language acquisition*. Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. 2002. Does form-focused instruction affect the acquisition of implicit knowledge? A review of the research. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 24(2), 223 – 236.
- Ellis, R. 2003. *Task-based language learning and teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. 2008. *The study of second language acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. & Barkhuizen, G. 2005. *Analysing learner language*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Eskildsen, S. 2009. Constructing another language - usage-based linguistics in second language acquisition. *Applied Linguistics* 30(3), 335–357.
- Eskildsen, S. 2012. L2 negation constructions at work. *Language Learning* 62(2), 335–372.
- Eskildsen, S. 2015. What counts as a developmental sequence? Exemplar-based L2 learning of English questions. *Language Learning* 65(1), 33–62.
- Eskildsen, S. 2018. L2 constructions and interactional competence: Subordination and coordination in English L2 learning. In A. Tyler, L. Huang, & H. Jan (eds.) *What is applied cognitive linguistics?* Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter, 63–98.
- Eskildsen, S. & Cadierno, T. 2007. Are recurring multi-word expressions really syntactic freezes? Second language acquisition from the perspective of usage-based linguistics. In M. Nenonen & S. Niemi (eds.) *Collocations and idioms 1: Papers from the first Nordic conference on syntactic freezes*. Joensuu: Joensuu University Press, 86–99.
- Eskildsen, S. & Cadierno, T. 2015. Advancing usage-based approaches to L2 studies. In T. Cadierno & S. Eskildsen (eds.) *Usage-Based Perspectives on Second Language Learning*. Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter Mouton, 1–16.
- Evans, V. & Green, M. 2006. *Cognitive linguistics. An introduction*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Fernández-Domínguez, J. 2019. The onomasiological approach. In M. Aronoff (ed.) *Oxford research encyclopedia of linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gass, S. & Selinker, L. (eds.) 1992. *Language transfer in language learning*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Gell-Mann, M. 1994. *The quark and the jaguar: Adventures in the simple and the complex*. New York: W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Goldberg, A. 1995. *Constructions. A Construction Grammar Approach to Argument Structure*. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press
- Goldberg, A. 2003. Constructions: a new theoretical approach to language. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 7(5), 219–224.
- Goldberg, A. 2006. *Constructions at work - The nature of generalization in language*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Grzega, J. 2012. Lexical - semantic variables. In J. Hernández-Campoy & J. Conde-Silvestre (eds.) *The handbook of historical sociolinguistics*. Chichester: Blackwell

- Publishing Ltd, 271–292.
- Gunst, R. & Mason, R. 1980. *Regression analysis and its application*. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Hakanen, A. 1972. Normaalilause ja eksistentiaalilause. *Sananjalka* 14, 36–76.
- Hakulinen, A. & Karlsson, F. 1995. *Nykysuomen lauseoppia*. Jyväskylä: Gummerus Kirjapaino Oy.
- Harrell, F. 2015. *Regression modeling strategies. With applications to linear models, logistic and ordinal regression, and survival analysis*. New York: Springer.
- Heinonen, P. 2017. *Evalointi opettajan vuorovaikutustoimintana: osallistajuuden ulottuvuudet luokkahuoneessa*. PhD dissertation, University of Helsinki.
- Helasvuo, M.-L. & Huomo, T. 2010. Mikä subjekti on? *Virittäjä* 2, 165–195.
- Hogg, R. & Craig, A. 1965. *Introduction to mathematical statistics*. New York: The MacMillan Company.
- Honko, M. 2013. *Alakouluikäisten leksikaalinen tieto ja taito: toisen sukupolven suomi ja S1-verrokki*. PhD dissertation. Acta Universitatis Tamperensis 1865. Tampere: University of Tampere.
- Hopper, P. 1998. Emergent grammar. In M. Tomasello (ed.) *The new psychology of language: cognitive and functional approaches to language structure* Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 155–175.
- Housen, A. & Kuiken, F. 2009. Complexity, accuracy, and fluency in second language acquisition. *Applied Linguistics* 30(4), 461–473.
- Housen, A., Kuiken, F. & Vedder, I. 2012. Complexity, accuracy and fluency: definitions, measurement and research. In A. Housen, F. Kuiken, & I. Vedder (eds.) *Dimensions of L2 performance and proficiency. Complexity, accuracy and fluency in SLA*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 1–20.
- Housen, A. & Pierrard, M. (eds.) 2005. *Investigations in instructed second language acquisition*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Huang, T., Steinkrauss, R. & Verspoor, M. 2020. Individual differences and variability in L2 development. Manuscript in preparation.
- Hulstijn, J. 2007. The shaky ground beneath the CEFR: Quantitative and qualitative dimensions of language proficiency 1. *The Modern Language Journal* 91(4), 663–667.
- Huomo, T. 1997. Partitiivisubjekti ja tilajatkumot. *Sananjalka* 39, 65–69.
- Huomo, T. 2003. Incremental existence. The world according to the Finnish existential sentence. *Linguistics* 41(3), 461–493.
- Huomo, T. & Perko, J. 1993a. Eksistentiaalilause lokaalisuuden ilmaisijana. *Virittäjä* 97, 380–399.
- Huomo, T. & Perko, J. 1993b. Suomen eksistentiaalilauseen informaatorakenne. Systeemi ja poikkeama. In S. Saarinen, J. Luutonen, & E. Herrala (eds.) *Juhlakirja Alho Alhoniemen 60-vuotispäiväksi Turun yliopiston suomalaisen ja yleisen kielitieteen laitoksen julkaisuja* 42, 194–206.
- Häkkinen, K. 1997. *Mistä sanat tulevat? Suomalaista etymologiaa*. Helsinki: SKS.
- Ikola, O. 1954. Suomen lauseopin ongelmia I–III. *Virittäjä* 58, 209–245.
- Ikola, O. 1964. *Lauseopin kysymyksiä. Tutkielma nykysuomen syntaksin alalta*. Tietolipas 26. Helsinki: SKS.

- Ivaska, I. 2011. Lausetyyppien sekoittuminen edistyneessä oppijansuomessa – näkökulmana eksistentiaalilause. In A. Kaivapalu, J. Laakso, P. Muikku-Werner, & M.-M. Sepper (eds.) *Lähivertailuja 21* Tallinn: Eesti rakenduslingvistika ühing, 65–85.
- Ivaska, I. 2010. Eksistentiaalilauseen subjektijäsen edistyneiden suomenoppijoiden kirjoituksessa. *Sananjalka* 52(1), 67–88.
- Ivaska, I. 2015. *Edistyneen oppijansuomen konstruktiopiirteitä korpusvetoisesti: avainrakenneanalyysi*. PhD dissertation. Turun yliopiston julkaisuja C 409. Turku: University of Turku.
- Jarvis, S. & Pavlenko, A. 2008. *Crosslinguistic influence in language and cognition*. New York: Routledge.
- Kaivapalu, A. 2005. *Lähdekieli kielenoppimisen apuna*. PhD dissertation. Jyväskylä Studies in Humanities 44. Jyväskylä: University of Jyväskylä.
- Kajander, M. 2013. *Suomen eksistentiaalilause toisen kielen oppimisen polulla*. PhD dissertation. Jyväskylä Studies in Humanities 220. Jyväskylä: University of Jyväskylä.
- Karlsson, F. 1983. *Suomen kielen äänne- ja muotorakenne*. Juva: Werner Söderström Osakeyhtiö.
- Karlsson, F. & Chesterman, A. 1999. *Finnish : an essential grammar*. Taylor & Francis e-Library.
- Kielitoimiston sanakirja. 2018. Helsinki: Kotimaisten kielten keskus. URN:NBN:fi:kotus-201433. Online publication HTML. This publication is updated regularly. Last update 6 June 2018 [Date of reference 22.12.2019].
- Koster, D. 2015. A dynamic, usage-based approach to teaching L2 Dutch. *Dutch Journal of Applied Linguistics* 4(2), 257–264.
- Kurhila, S. 2006. *Second language interaction*. Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Laakso, J. 2011. The Uralic Languages. In B. Kortmann & J. van der Auwera (eds.) *The languages and linguistics of Europe. A comprehensive guide*. Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Langacker, R. 1987. *Foundations of cognitive grammar*. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.
- Langacker, R. 1999. *Grammar and conceptualization*. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Langacker, R. 2009. A dynamic view of usage and language acquisition. *Cognitive Linguistics* 20(3), 627–640.
- Langacker, R. 2013. *Essentials of cognitive grammar*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. 2006. The emergence of complexity, fluency, and accuracy in the oral and written production of five Chinese learners of English. *Applied Linguistics* 27(4), 590–619.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. 2007. On the complementarity of chaos/complexity theory and dynamic systems theory in understanding the second language acquisition process. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition* 10(1), 35–37.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. 2013. Complexity theory/dynamic systems theory. In P.

- Robinson (ed.) *The Routledge encyclopedia of second language acquisition*. New York: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. 1997. Chaos/complexity science and second language acquisition. *Applied Linguistics* 18(2), 141–165.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. & Cameron, L. 2008. *Complex systems and applied linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Latomaa, S., Pöyhönen, S., Suni, M. & Tarnanen, M. 2013. Kielikysymykset muuttoliikkeessä. In T. Martikainen, P. Saukkonen & M. Säävälä (eds.) *Kansainvälinen muuttoliike ja suomalainen yhteiskunta*. Helsinki: Gaudeamus, 163–183.
- Lehtonen, T. 2013. Tuen muodot ja oppimisen mahdollisuudet suomen kielen verkkokurssilla. *Lähivõrdlusi. Lähivertailuja* 0(23), 163–186.
- Lennon, P. 1990. Investigating fluency in EFL: A quantitative approach\*. *Language Learning* 40(3), 387–417.
- Lesonen, S. 2013. *Suomen kielen lingvististen piirteiden sijoittuminen Eurooppalaisen viitekehyksen taitotasolle*. MA thesis, University of Jyväskylä.
- Lieven, E., Salomo, D. & Tomasello, M. 2009. Two-year-old children's production of multiword utterances: A usage-based analysis. *Cognitive Linguistics* 20(3), 481–507.
- Lilja, N. 2010. *Ongelmista oppimiseen: toisen aloittamat korjausjaksot kakkoskielisessä keskustelussa*. PhD dissertation. Jyväskylä Studies in Humanities 146. Jyväskylä: University of Jyväskylä.
- Lintunen, P. & Mäkilä M. 2014. Measuring syntactic complexity in spoken and written learner language: Comparing the incomparable? *Research in Language*, 12(4), 377–399.
- Lorenz, E. 1972. Predictability: Does the flap of a butterfly's wings in Brazil set off a tornado in Texas? Paper presented at the American Association for the Advancement of Sciences, Washington, DC.
- Lowie, W. & Verspoor, M. 2018. Individual differences and the ergodicity problem. *Language Learning* 69 (s1), 184–206.
- Lowie, W., van Dijk, M., Chan, H. & Verspoor, M. 2017. Finding the key to successful L2 learning in groups and individuals. *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 7(1), 127–148.
- Lowie, W. & Verspoor, M. 2015. Variability and variation in second language acquisition orders: A dynamic reevaluation. *Language Learning* 65(1), 63–88.
- MacWhinney, B. 1975. Pragmatic patterns in child syntax. *Stanford Papers and Reports on Child Language Development* 10, 153–165.
- MacWhinney, B. 2000. *The CHILDES Project: Tools for Analyzing Talk*. (3rd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Malmkjær, K. (ed.) 1991. *The Linguistics Encyclopedia*. New York: Routledge.
- Mantila, H. 2004. Murre ja identiteetti. *Virittäjä* 108, 322–346.
- Markus, K., & Pomozi, P. 2004. *Rektiosanakirja: suomi-unkari-suomi*. Hamina: Finn Lectura.
- Martin, J. R. & White, P. R. R. 2005. *The language of evaluation. Appraisal in English*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

- Martin, M. 1995. *The map and the rope: Finnish nominal inflection as a learning target*. PhD dissertation. Studia Philologica Jyväskyläensia 38. Jyväskylä: University of Jyväskylä.
- Martin, M., Mustonen, S., Reiman, N. & Seilonen, M. 2010. On becoming an independent user. In I. Bartning, M. Martin & I. Vedder (eds.) *Communicative proficiency and linguistic development: intersections between SLA and language testing research*. EUROSLA Monograph series 1. Amsterdam: EuroSLA, 57–80.
- Maturana, H. & Varela, F. 1972. *Autopoiesis and Cognition*. Boston: Reidel.
- Mellow, J. 2006. The emergence of second language syntax: A case study of the acquisition of relative clauses. *Applied Linguistics* 27(4), 645–670.
- Mitchell, R., Myles, F. & Marsden, E. 2013. *Second language learning theories*. Oxon: Routledge.
- Molenaar, P. 2015. On the relation between person-oriented and subject-specific approaches. *Journal of Person-Oriented Research* 1(1–2), 34–41.
- Murakami, A. 2013. *Individual variation and the role of L1 in the L2 development of English grammatical morphemes: insights from learner corpora*. PhD dissertation, Cambridge University.
- Mustonen, S. 2015. *Käytössä kehittyvä kieli. Paikat ja tilat suomi toisena kielenä -oppijoiden teksteissä*. PhD dissertation. Jyväskylä Studies in Humanities 255. Jyväskylä: Jyväskylän yliopisto.
- Niculescu, B. N., & Petrescu, T. C. 2013. Dynamical systems theory – A powerful tool in the educational sciences. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 76, 581–587.
- Nieminen, L. 2007. *A complex case: a morphosyntactic approach to complexity in early child language*. PhD dissertation. Jyväskylä Studies in Humanities 72. Jyväskylä: University of Jyväskylä.
- Nieminen, L. & Torvelainen, P. 2003. Produktiivisen syntaksin indeksi -suomenkielinen versio näkymä. *Puhe Ja Kieli*, 119–132.
- Nissilä, L. 2011. *Viron kielen vaikutus suomen kielen verbien ja niiden rektioiden oppimiseen*. PhD dissertation. Acta Universitatis Ouluensis B 99, Oulu: University of Oulu.
- Norris, J. M. & Ortega, L. 2000. Effectiveness of L2 instruction: A research synthesis and quantitative meta-analysis. *Language Learning* 50(3), 417–528.
- Nuolijärvi, P. & Vaattovaara, J. 2011. De-standardisation in progress in Finnish society? In T. Kristiansen & N. Coupland (eds.) *Standard Languages and Language Standards in a Changing Europe*. Oslo: Novus Press, 67–74.
- Odlin, T. 1989. *Language transfer: Cross-linguistic influence in language learning*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Peltier, J. 2009 PTS LOESS Smoothing Utility [Computer Software]. Retrieved from [peltiertech.com/loess-utility-awesome-update/](http://peltiertech.com/loess-utility-awesome-update/) 26 April 2018.
- Penris, W. & Verspoor, M. 2017. Academic writing development: a complex, dynamic process. In S. Pfenninger & J. Navracsics (eds.) *Future research directions for applied linguistics*. Bristol: Multilingual Matters Ltd, 215–242.
- Perdue, C. & Klein, W. 1993. Concluding remarks. In C. Perdue (ed.) *Adult language acquisition: cross-linguistic perspectives: Volume 2, The results*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 253–272.



- Perko, J. 1992. Suomen eksistentiaalilauseesta. In E. Itkonen, A. Pajunen, & T. Haukioja (eds.) *Kielitieteen kentän kartoitusta*. Turun yliopiston suomalaisen ja yleisen kielitieteen laitoksen julkaisuja, 171–178.
- Peters, A. 1983. *The units of language acquisition*. Cambridge University Press.
- Piggott, L. 2019. *First meaning then form : A longitudinal study on the effects of delaying and reducing explicit form-focused instruction for young adolescent EFL Learners*. PhD dissertation, University of Groningen.
- Pijpops, D. & Speelman, D. 2017. Alternating argument constructions of Dutch psychological verbs: A theory-driven corpus investigation. *Folia Linguistica* 51(1), 207–251.
- Pili-Moss, D., Brill-Schuetz, K. A., Faretta-Stutenberg, M. & Morgan-Short, K. 2019. Contributions of declarative and procedural memory to accuracy and automatization during second language practice (\*). *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 1–13.
- Prigogine, I., & Stengers, I. 1984. *Order out of chaos*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Rauste-von Wright, M., Wright, J. von., & Soini, T. 2003. *Oppiminen ja koulutus*. Helsinki: WSOY.
- Reiman, N. 2011a. Complexity in Finnish interlanguage. *Nordand. Nordisk Tidskrift for Andrespråkforskning* 6(2), 9–33.
- Reiman, N. 2011b. Transitiivikonstruktio ikkunana syntaksin kehitykseen: Infinitiittiset rakenteet ja passiivi taidon indikaattoreina S2-oppijoiden teksteissä. In E. Lehtinen, S. Aaltonen, M. Koskela, E. Nevasaari, & M. Skog-Södersved (eds.) *AFinLa-e Soveltavan kielitieteen tutkimuksia*, 142–157.
- Roehr-Brackin, K. 2014. Explicit knowledge and processes from a usage-based perspective: The developmental trajectory of an instructed L2 learner. *Language Learning* 64(4), 771–808.
- Roehr-Brackin, K. 2015. Long-term development in an instructed adult L2 learner: Usage-based and complexity theory applied. In T. Cadierno & S. Eskildsen (eds.) *Usage-based perspectives on second language learning*. Berlin, München, Boston: De Gruyter, 181–206.
- Roos, J. & Lenzing, A. 2018. Towards independent language use: The role of formulaic sequences in the developing L2 system. Paper presented at the 28<sup>TH</sup> Conference of the European Second Language Association, Münster, Germany, 5–8 September.
- Rousse-Malpat, A. 2019. *Effectiveness of explicit vs. implicit L2 instruction: a longitudinal classroom study on oral and written skills*. PhD dissertation, University of Groningen.
- Saffran, J., Aslin, R. & Newport, E. 1996. Statistical learning by 8-month old infants. *Science* 274, 1926–1928.
- Sato, C. 1990. *The syntax of conversation in interlanguage development*. Tübingen: Gunter Narr Verlag.
- Scarborough, H. 1990. Index of productive syntax. *Applied Psycholinguistics* 11(1), 1–22.
- Schmidt, R. & Frota, S. 1986. Developing basic conversational ability in a second language: A case study of an adult learner of Portuguese. In R. Day (ed.) *Talking*

- to learn. *Conversation in second language acquisition* Cambridge: Newbury House, 327–326.
- Segal, A., Pollak, I. & Lefstein, A. 2016. Democracy, voice and dialogic pedagogy: the struggle to be heard and heeded. *Language and Education* 31(1), 6–25.
- Seilonen, M. 2013. *Epäsuora henkilöön viittaaminen oppijansuomessa*. PhD dissertation. Jyväskylä Studies in Humanities 197. Jyväskylä: Jyväskylän yliopisto.
- Siironen, M. 2001. *Kuka pelkää, ketä pelottaa: nykysuomen tunneverbien kielioppia ja semantiikkaa*. PhD dissertation. Helsinki: Suomalaisen Kirjallisuuden Seura.
- Siitonen, K. 1999. *Agenttia etsimässä. U-verbijohdokset edistyneen suomenoppijan ongelmana*. PhD dissertation. Turun yliopiston suomalaisen ja yleisen kielitieteen laitoksen julkaisuja 63. Turku: Turun yliopisto.
- Skehan, P. 1998. *A cognitive approach to language learning*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Slobin, D. 1996. From “thought and language” to “thinking for speaking.” In J. Gumperz & S. Levinson (eds.) *Rethinking linguistic relativity: Studies in the social and cultural foundations of language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 70–96.
- Smiskova-Gustafsson, H. 2013. *Chunks in L2 development. A usage-based perspective*. PhD dissertation, University of Groningen.
- Spada, N. & Tomita, Y. 2010. Interactions between type of instruction and type of language feature: A meta-analysis. *Language Learning* 60(2), 263–308.
- Spivey, M. 2007. *The continuity of mind*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Spoelman, M. 2011. The use of partitive predicatives by Estonian learners of Finnish at different levels of L2 proficiency. *Lähivõrdlusi. Lähivertailuja* 21(21), 280–304.
- Spoelman, M. & Verspoor, M. 2010. Dynamic patterns in development of accuracy and complexity: A longitudinal case study in the acquisition of Finnish. *Applied Linguistics* 31(4), 532–553.
- Steinkrauss, R. 2009. *Frequency and function in WH question acquisition: a usage-based case study of German L1 acquisition*. PhD dissertation, University of Groningen.
- Suni, M. 2008. *Toista kieltä vuorovaikutuksessa: kielellisten resurssien jakaminen toisen kielen omaksumisen alkuvaiheessa*. PhD dissertation. Jyväskylä Studies in Humanities 94. Jyväskylä: University of Jyväskylä.
- Thelen, E. & Smith, L. 1994. *A dynamic systems approach to the development of cognition and action*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Thom, R. 1983. *Mathematical models of morphogenesis*. Chichester, England: Ellis Horwood.
- Tilma, C. 2014. *The dynamics of foreign versus second language development in Finnish writing*. PhD dissertation. Jyväskylä Studies in Humanities 233. Jyväskylä: University of Jyväskylä.
- Tomasello, M. 1992. *No title first verbs: A case study of early grammatical development*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tomasello, M. 2000. First steps toward a usage-based theory of language acquisition\*. *Cognitive Linguistics* 11(1/2), 61–82.
- Tomasello, M. 2003. *Constructing a language. A usage-based theory of language acquisition*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

- Tyler, A. 2010. Usage-based approaches to language and their applications to second language learning. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics* 30, 270–291.
- University of Jyväskylä. Yleiset kielitutkinnot. Kirjoittamisen arviointikriteerit. Retrieved from <https://www.jyu.fi/hytk/fi/laitokset/solki/yki/yleista/tietoakielitutkinnoista/arviointi>
- van Dijk, M. & van Geert, P. 2007. Wobbles, humps and sudden jumps: A case study of continuity, discontinuity and variability in early language development. *Infant and Child Development* 16(1), 7–33.
- van Dijk, M., Verspoor, M. & Lowie, W. 2011. Variability in DST. In M. Verspoor, K. de Bot & W. Lowie (eds.) *A dynamic approach to second language development. Methods and techniques*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 55–84.
- van Geert, P. 1991. A dynamic systems model of cognitive and language growth. *Psychological Review* 98(1), 3–53.
- van Geert, P. 2007. Dynamic systems in second language learning: Some general methodological reflections. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition* 10(01), 47–49.
- van Geert, P. & Steenbeek, H. 2005. Explaining after by before: Basic aspects of a dynamic systems approach to the study of development. *Development as self-organization: New approaches to the psychology and neurobiology of development* 25(3–4), 408–442.
- van Geert, P. & van Dijk, M. 2002. Focus on variability: New tools to study intra-individual variability in developmental data. *Infant Behavior and Development* 25(4), 340–374.
- van Geert, P. & Verspoor, M. 2015. Dynamic systems and language development. In B. MacWhinney & W. O'Grady (eds.) *The handbook of language emergence*. Hoboken: Wiley Blackwell, 537–555.
- van Lier, L. 2000. From input to affordance: Social-interactive learning from an ecological perspective. In J. P. Lantolf (ed.) *Sociocultural theory and second language learning*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 245–260.
- VanPatten, B. & Cadierno, T. 1993. Input processing and second language acquisition: A role for instruction. *The modern language journal* 77(1), 45–57.
- VanPatten, B. & Oikkenon, S. 1996. Explanation versus structured input in processing instruction. *Studies in second language acquisition* 18(4), 495–510.
- Verspoor, M. & Behrens, H. 2011. Dynamic systems theory and a usage-based approach to second language development. In M. Verspoor, K. de Bot & W. Lowie (eds.) *A dynamic approach to second language development. Methods and techniques* Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 25–38.
- Verspoor, M., Lowie, W., Chan, H. P. & Vahtrick, L. 2017. Linguistic complexity in second language development: variability and variation at advanced stages. *Recherches En Didactique Des Langues et Des Cultures* 14(1), 1–27.
- Verspoor, M., Lowie, W. & van Dijk, M. 2008. Variability in second language development from a dynamic systems perspective. *The modern language journal* 92(2), 214–231.
- Verspoor, M., Schmid, M. & Xu, X. 2012. A dynamic usage-based perspective on L2 writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing* 21(3), 239–263.

- Verspoor, M. & van Dijk, M. 2011. Visualizing interactions between variables. In M. Verspoor, K. de Bot & W. Lowie (eds.) *A dynamic approach to second language development. Methods and techniques*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 85–98.
- Vilkuna, M. 2000. *Suomen lauseopin perusteet*. Helsinki: Edita Prima.
- von Bertalanffy, L. 1950. An outline for general systems theory. *British journal for the philosophy of science* 12(1), 134–165.
- Vyatkina, N. 2012. The development of second language writing complexity in groups and individuals: A longitudinal learner corpus study. *The Modern Language Journal* 96(4), 576–598.
- Vähämäki, K. 1984. *Existence and identity: A study of the semantics and syntax of existential sentences in Finnish*. Turku: Publications of the Research Institute of the Åbo Akademi Foundation.
- Waara, R. 2004. Construal, convention, and constructions in L2 speech. In S. Niemeier & M. Achard (eds.) *Cognitive linguistics, second language acquisition, and foreign language teaching*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton, 51–75.